

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/403,938		DASSOW ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	ALINA N. BOUTAH		2443	

All Participants:

(1) ALINA N. BOUTAH

(2) LINDA LECOMTE

Date of Interview: 20 May 2011

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

27

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

On 5/20/11, Examiner telephoned Applicant and left a message with Applicant's representative requesting that claim 27 be amended to depend on another claim that is not cancelled. On the same day, Applicant returned the phone call, however, the message was incomprehensible because it was staticky. Since an agreement cannot be reached on time, a Quayle action is mailed. Applicant is encouraged to contact Examiner again in order to expedite the allowance of the application.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Quayle

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/ALINA N BOUTAH/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)